

## NOTICE AND AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of the City of Santaquin will hold a City Council Meeting on Wednesday, April 6, 2005, in the Council Chambers, 45 West 100 South, at 7:00 P.M.

### AGENDA

1. ROLL CALL
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
3. INVOCATION/INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT
4. CONSENT AGENDA
  - a. Approval of the minutes
    1. Approval of the minutes of a Regular Meeting held on March 16, 2005 and a Special Meeting held on March 23, 2005
  - b. Bills -\$102,941.58
5. PUBLIC FORUM, BID OPENINGS, AWARDS, AND APPOINTMENTS  
**Public Forum will be held to a 30 minute maximum with each speaker given no more than 5 minutes each. If more than 6 Speakers, time will be adjusted accordingly to meet the 30 minute requirement**
6. FORMAL PUBLIC HEARINGS
  - a. FY 2005/2006 Proposed Budget
  - b. CDBG Small Cities Program Year 2005: Project- Construction of a Public Safety Facility
7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS
  - a. Discussion and possible action with regard to Engineering Services for Pressurized Irrigation System between Santaquin City and J.U.B. Engineers, Inc.
8. NEW BUSINESS
  - a. Discussion and Final approve of the Aitken/Fishburn Subdivision
9. BUSINESS LICENSES
10. INTRODUCTIONS AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS
  - a. Ordinance 04-01-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for the Adoption of the Santaquin City Long Range Master Plan" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-02-2005)
  - b. Ordinance 04-02-2005 " An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005)
11. PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS
12. REPORTS OF OFFICERS, STAFF, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES
13. REPORTS BY MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS
  - a. Mayor Scovill
    1. Update on the realignment of SR198 and extension of Main Street
  - b. City Manager Chatwin
    1. Update on the County Cricket Abatement refund
14. EXECUTIVE SESSION (May be called to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual)
15. EXECUTIVE SESSION (May be called to discuss the pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and/or purchase, exchange, or lease of real property)
16. ADJOURNMENT

If you are planning to attend this Public Meeting and, due to a disability, need assistance in understanding or participating in the meeting, please notify the City ten or more hours in advance and we will, within reason, provide what assistance may be required.

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned duly appointed City Recorder for the municipality of Santaquin City hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing Notice and Agenda was faxed to the Payson Chronicle, Payson, UT, 84651.

BY: Susan B. Farnsworth 3/31/05  
Susan B. Farnsworth City Recorder 1555

### POSTED:

CITY CENTER  
POST OFFICE  
ZIONS BANK

**MINUTES OF A SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING  
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
APRIL 6, 2005**

Mayor Scovill called the meeting to order at 7:11 P.M. Council Members attending: Wesley Morgan, Martin Green, Todd Starley, and Dennis Brandon.

Mayor Scovill excused Tracy Roberts.

Staff attending: City Manager Stefan Chatwin, City Planner Jim Bolser, Legal Counsel Brett Rich, Deputy Recorder Darlene Gray, Police Chief Dennis Howard, City Administrative Assistant Shannon Hoffman, and City Engineer Shon Fullmer.

Others attending: Doug Rohbock, Rex Bean, Chamber of Commerce President Kay Tischner, Kathy Brandon, Boy Scouts Troop 1583 Austin Simkins, Nathan Facer, Nathan Charlesworth (Citizenship in the Community), Sandra Hartford, and other unidentified individuals.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

Scout Simkins led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**INVOCATION/INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT**

Mr. Rohbock offered an invocation.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

***Approval of the minutes***

***Approval of minutes of the Regular Meeting held on March 16, 2005 and a Special Meeting held on March 23, 2005.***

***Bills - \$102,941.58***

Council Member Green moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Council Member Starley seconded the motion.

Council Member Green requested information regarding the Special Events cell phone payment of \$35.00 per month. City Manager Chatwin explained that the Special Events cell phone is available to Council Members or Special Events staff if they are in need of a cell phone for special events. Council Member Green asked who had approved the cell phone. Mayor Scovill responded that the previous Council Members had approved the cell phone.

Council Member Brandon requested information regarding the payment to Ag West Distributing Company. Engineer Fullmer responded that it could have been the payment for the seeder-spreader for the Public Works Department.

Council Member Starley asked if the contract for pest control at the City offices was a bid item. He stated that City should support local businesses. City Manager Chatwin indicated that he would research if the pest control contract would be a bid item.

Council Member Brandon requested information regarding the T-Mobile payment for the Police Department. Police Chief Howard responded that it was for the wireless connection service for the in-car computers.

Council Member Brandon requested information regarding the Rim Rock Technology payment. Council Member Starley responded that it was for the telephone service for the City offices.

Council Member Brandon requested information regarding Administrative use for the Verizon payment. City Manager Chatwin responded that the Administrative users were Administrative Assistant Shannon Hoffman, Recorder Susan Farnsworth, Mayor Scovill, himself, and portions of other users.

Council Member Brandon requested information regarding the payment to Waxie Sanitary Supply. City Manager Chatwin responded that the payment was for supplies for the parks, I.E. garbage bags.

With no other comments or questions, the vote was unanimous.

### **PUBLIC FORUM, BID OPENINGS, AWARDS, AND APPOINTMENTS**

Mayor Scovill announced that Ms. Brandon and Mr. Tischner were representatives from the Santaquin City Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Tischner approached the Council Members with the new Chamber of Commerce 2005 Business Guide and Community Events booklet. He explained that the Chamber of Commerce felt quite successful in the Easter Egg Hunt. He expressed appreciation to the Santaquin Police Department for street control during last year's Christmas Light Parade.

Mr. Tischner suggested that the City consider giving the employees coupons to local businesses listed in the Business Guide as a Christmas gift.

Council Member Roberts arrived at 7:29 PM.

Mr. Tischner requested an additional \$1,800.00 to complete the bowery at Squashead Park. He reported that the Chamber of Commerce had made a donation to the Nebo Economic Summit and the Clear Project through Nebo School District. He indicated that the Chamber of Commerce would like to increase the donation to the Clear Project to \$300.00. Mr. Tischner reported that the Chamber of Commerce has been involved in several community events, I.E. the Veteran's Memorial at the City Cemetery, the Santaquin Days Rodeo, the Easter Egg Hunt and others. He reported that operating expenses, the newsletter to members of the Chamber of Commerce and Board members, the cost of postage and certificates, ribbon cuttings, ad book, etc. necessitated the increase in their request for additional funds for the coming year.

Mr. Tischner stated that the Chamber of Commerce had reevaluated the Skate Park requested last year and would like the Council Members to consider a swimming pool. He suggested making the pool project a 'senior's' swimming pool, adding that senior programs have a variety of funding sources available.

Council Member Starley asked Mr. Tischner his opinion regarding the effect that Payson businesses have on Santaquin City. Mr. Tischner responded that it had always been a problem. He added that he had spoken with several new move-ins on the east side and found that many did not know about local businesses.

Mr. Tischner reported that the total amount the Chamber of Commerce would like to have in their budget would be \$10,600.00. Mr. Tischner thanked the Council Members for their time.

Council Member Morgan asked Mr. Tischner what the income sources were for the Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Tischner responded that the Chamber of Commerce received funds through dues and donations. Council Member Morgan stated that he did not want to suggest that the City needed to know the financial situation of the Chamber of Commerce, but if the Chamber of Commerce is requesting money, he thought the Council Members might want to know what the funds would be for. Mr. Tischner stated that through monies other than donations, the Chamber of Commerce was the single largest contributor to the Veteran's Memorial. Council Member Morgan asked if the requested \$10,000 constituted 5% of the Chamber's operating needs. Mr. Tischner responded that the requested budget amount would be to help promote and build the community. Council Member Brandon stated that in other cities, the Chamber of Commerce works hand-in-hand with the City to help promote the needs of the City, i.e. social events and projects and programs that helps the community develop a friendlier atmosphere. Mr. Tischner stated that if the Council Members had any suggestions regarding other types of events, the Chamber of Commerce Board would be happy to consider them.

Council Member Morgan stated that he understood that the Clear Project was funded by Federal Grants. Mr. Tischner responded that each community had been asked to contribute to the scholarship program and not the after school program.

Council Member Morgan commented that the Rodeo snack shack was a source of income for the Chamber of Commerce. Council Member Starley suggested using the proceeds from the Rodeo snack shack to build a permanent structure at the Rodeo grounds. Mr. Tischner responded that he was not sure if that would be cost effective. Council Member Starley suggested Mr. Tischner talk to the Rodeo Club about the ground being rented by other groups.

Mayor Scovill thanked Mr. Tischner for his presentation.

Council Member Starley read a personal letter, copy attached.

## **FORMAL PUBLIC HEARINGS**

### ***FY 2005/2006 Proposed Budget***

Council Member Green moved to enter into the Public Hearing with regard to the FY 2005/2006 Proposed Budget. Council Member Starley seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

Mayor Scovill asked if anyone had comments regarding the FY 2005/2006 Proposed Budget. With no response, Mayor Scovill indicated that he would entertain a motion to close the Public Hearing. Council Member Green moved to close the Public Hearing for the FY 2005/2005 Proposed Budget. Council Member Starley seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

### ***CDBG Small Cities Program Year 2005: Project – Construction of a Public Safety Facility***

Council Member Starley moved to enter into the Public Hearing with regard to the CDBG Small Cities Program Year 2005: Project – Construction of a Public Safety Facility. Council Member Roberts seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

Mayor Scovill opened the second Public Hearing for the CDBG Small Cities Program Year 2005: Project – Construction of a Public Safety Facility. Mayor Scovill introduced City Administrative Assistant Shannon Hoffman as the Project Manager for the Public Safety Building Project. Ms.

Hoffman stated that this second public hearing was called to allow all citizens to provide input concerning the project that was awarded under the 2005 Community Development Block Grant Program. The City has amended its capital investment plan and decided to apply for funds on behalf of the Public Safety Building, located at approximately 285 West Main Street. Ms. Hoffman explained that the application was successful in the regional rating and ranking process and the construction of the Public Safety Building and reported that the Grant Award amount would be \$222,500.00.

Mr. Bean asked if the public could view the plans for the Public Safety facility. Mayor Scovill indicated that the City had not as yet received the final draft. Ms. Hoffman stated that upon receipt, the preliminary drawings for the layout and architectural drawings would be brought before the City Council. Mr. Bean asked Ms. Hoffman what the estimated cost would be for the proposed facility. Ms. Hoffman responded that the estimated cost would be approximately \$1 million.

Ms. Hoffman explained that a second public hearing was required by CDBG because the building location of the proposed facility had changed. She indicated that the second public hearing would allow the community to ask questions and for the City to respond to those questions with what the project would entail. Ms. Hoffman explained that the City had applied for and received two (2) years of funding. She reported that the City was successful this year in the rating and ranking and had been awarded \$222,500.00 bringing the current total funds to be applied to this project at \$500,000.00. She indicated that originally, the facility was to be built at the current Public Safety building location. She reported that during the process, the architect found several problems, which were brought to the City Council Members for a feasible solution. The feasible solution was to find another location for the building. Ms. Hoffman explained that because of the project location change to approximately 285 West Main Street, the community members would have to given the chance to voice their opinion. Ms. Hoffman reported that the property is currently owned by the City.

Council Member Green asked Ms. Hoffman when construction would begin. Ms. Hoffman responded that the project was scheduled to begin by July 2005.

Ms. West questioned why it would be necessary for Santaquin City to have a \$1 million building. Mayor Scovill responded that with the growth the City had been experiencing, building a larger facility now would be more cost effective.

Ms. Hoffman stated that copies of the capital investment plan would be available if anyone would like a copy.

With no other comments or questions, Council Member Starley moved to close the Public Hearing for the CDBG Small Cities Program Year 2005: Project – Construction of a Public Safety Facility. Council Member Green seconded the meeting with a unanimous vote.

Mayor Scovill declared the Public Hearing closed at approximately 8:00 PM.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

### *Discussion and possible action with regard to Engineering Services for Pressurized Irrigation System between Santaquin City and J.U.B. Engineers, Inc.*

Council Member Brandon moved to accept the agreement with regard to Engineering Services for the Pressurized Irrigation System between Santaquin City and J.U.B. Engineers, Inc. Council Member Starley seconded the motion.

Council Member Morgan stated that the copy that was included had been modified and added that he understood that there were many suggested modifications regarding the agreement by City Attorney Rich. Legal Counsel Rich responded that he had taken notes during prior discussion by the Council Members. He indicated that he reviewed the changes and found them satisfactory.

Council Member Morgan indicated that he had not seen the exhibits until the version included in the Council Member's packets. He reviewed the document for typos.

Council Member Morgan expressed his concern regarding when the City would be able to exercise "may" clauses. Legal Counsel Rich responded that the City could exercise the "may" clauses at any time. Council Member Morgan stated that there was no reference to bonds. Legal Counsel Rich responded that the bonding had been discussed and stated that the wording in the agreement was standard language in engineer's contracts. He added that the clause is seen frequently in contracts. He indicated that he would have preferred to see more protection for the City because a lot was being relied on "may" clauses.

Council Member Morgan requested information regarding inspections and tests. Council Member Brandon responded that the paragraph was in the agreement if there would be a disagreement between the City and the contractor. He stated that J.U.B. could act as a mediator. Council Member Morgan asked what responsibilities the City Engineer would assume. Mr. Perkins stated that the City Engineer would assume a lot of the responsibility. City Engineer Fullmer added that J.U.B. would make recommendation regarding change orders, claims, etc. He stated that the City would make the final decision regarding all aspects of the project. Legal Counsel Rich explained that J.U.B. would offer advice, but the City would make the final decision. Council Member Morgan stated that there have been numerous times when an agreement was not quite right and the intention was to make a change later, but no changes were ever made. Mr. Perkins stated that he did not like the possible role of mediator and offered to strike the paragraph from the agreement. Legal Counsel Rich stated that he would rather have the paragraph in place just in case the Council Members wanted it. He commented that it would be a sort of shopping list and rather than spend money having go through court, the City could invoke this paragraph.

Council Member Morgan asked if operations and maintenance manuals would be provided upon completion of the project. Mr. Perkins responded that he did not believe there was statement indicating that all information would be given to the City, but it was something J.U.B. would certainly do. Legal Counsel Rich stated that a statement could be added to the agreement. Council Member Morgan stated that someone needs to know how to turn things on and there are times when the City crew may not be available. Mr. Perkins agreed to add a statement to the agreement regarding maintenance manuals. Council Member Morgan suggested the statement be added at the Post Construction Phase. Legal Counsel Rich indicated that he would add to A5 under A 1.06 Post Construction Phase. Engineer Fullmer requested the 'as-built' documentation be included in the materials J.U.B. submits to the City.

Council Member Morgan stated that parts of the agreement stated there would be additional services requiring the City's authorization, and some services that did not require the City's authorization. Mr. Perkins explained that emergencies may arise that necessitate J.U.B. having to turn something off. They would want to be able to do that without having to come to the City offices to ask permission to take care of an emergency situation. Legal Counsel Rich indicated that he did not see a problem with the statement.

Council Member Starley asked if new taxes and/or fees could be billed retroactive to the signing of the contract. Legal Counsel Rich responded that the Legislature has the ability to impose new taxes and/or fees. He stated that if a new tax is imposed, J.U.B. Engineering, Inc. could bill the City for the new tax and/or fee.

Council Member Brandon agreed to amend his motion to accept the changes made to the agreement for Engineering Services for Pressurized Irrigation System between Santaquin City and J.U.B. Engineers, Inc. Council Member Starley accepted the change to the motion. The vote was unanimous.

Mayor Scovill stated that he would get the contract to J.U.B. Engineering, Inc.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

### ***Discussion and Final Approval of the Aitken/Fishburn Subdivision***

City Manager Chatwin clarified this agenda item stating that this was not for final approval. He indicated that this was for the concept plan and indicated that no action would need to be taken other than the review of the concept presented by the developer. He reported that the Council Members would also review the recommendations made by the Planning Commission.

Council Member Brandon moved to deny the Aitken/Fishburn Subdivision Concept Plan and send the plan back to the Planning Commission because of issues raised regarding roads, minimum lot sizes, the R-10, Residential zone and the fact that 90% of lots in this concept have the minimum 9,600 square foot lots.

City Manager Chatwin stated a Point of Order, indicating that this was a concept plan and no action or approvals were to be taken. Council Member Brandon stated that this concept plan was not the same plan that the Planning Commissioners had reviewed and made recommendation on. Mr. Berg stated that the plans before the Council Members had the Planning Commission recommended changes included. Engineer Fullmer stated that a concept plan was not binding, but was a plan merely submitted for input. City Planner Bolser stated that the applicant would have to appear before the Development Review Committee, the Planning Commission and the City Council. Brandon asked why the Council Members were reviewing the concept plan if the Planning Commission had denied the application. City Manager Chatwin stated that the Planning Commission did not deny the application, but voiced their opinion and recommendation and then sent it before the Council Members for their input. City Planner Bolser added that this item was for discussion only and not for any approval by the Council Members. Planning Commissioner Rohbock stated that there is a major road configuration that must be dealt with by an entity other than the Planning Commission. He stated that the decision would be up to the Council Members.

## **BUSINESS LICENSES**

None

**INTRODUCTION AND ADOPTION OF ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS**

***Ordinance 04-01-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for the Adoption of the Santaquin City Long Range Master Plan" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-02-2005)***

City Manager Chatwin left Council Chambers at 9:22 PM.

Council Member Green moved to accept Ordinance 04-01-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for the Adoption of the Santaquin City Long Range Master Plan" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-02-2005). Council Member Starley seconded the motion.

Council Member Starley asked if all the changes previously discussed had been made. City Planner Bolser responded that all the changes the Council Members had directed him to make during the Work session had been made. He explained that Council Member Roberts had asked him to prepare an additional map that he had distributed to the Council Members.

City Manager Chatwin returned to Council Chambers at 9:24 PM.

City Planner Bolser stated that the map is just to have a graphic idea of what would be dealt with roads that do not exist. He stated that it was basically what was on Mr. Berg's concept map. Mayor Scovill stated that the Council Members need to determine at what point the road transition where 300 East would merge with 400 East should be made. Mr. Berg stated that the City has existing sewer lines that run along the south end of the subdivision and indicated that they would put the road there so the City would have the utility lines along the roadway. Council Member Brandon indicated that he thought 300 East should be the major collector. City Planner Bolser stated that the North Santaquin Orchard Annexation map showed the transition road.

Council Member Starley left the Council Chambers at 9:35 PM.

Council Member Morgan stated that he did not receive an updated copy of the Long Range Master Plan in his packet and asked if corrections had been made. City Planner Bolser responded that no corrections had been made and the most recent map had been distributed to the Council Members for the March 2, 2005 meeting.

Council Member Morgan asked City Planner Bolser to explain the difference between a General Plan and the Long Range Master Plan. City Planner Bolser responded that both plans are similar, but the Long Range Master Plan deals with land use issues only. The General Plan, now five years old, will be updated. The update will eliminate overlaps and inconsistencies between the Long Range Master Plan and the General Plan.

Legal Counsel Rich was excused from the meeting at 9:38 PM.

City Planner Bolser reported that the Long Range Master Plan looks at what a city is and typically deals with transportation and land use issues.

Council Member Starley returned to Council Chambers at 9:40 PM.



## ROLL CALL

Council Member Green – Aye  
Council Member Roberts – Aye  
Council Member Starley – Aye  
Council Member Morgan – Aye  
Council Member Brandon – Aye

***Ordinance 04-02-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005)***

Mayor Scovill indicated that a public hearing had been held and that the letter of recommendation for approval from the Planning Commission regarding Ordinance 04-02-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005) had been received by the Council Members.

Council Member Starley moved to accept Ordinance 04-02-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005). Council Member Morgan seconded the motion.

Council Member Starley indicated that he would like to make one change in the fence height limit from 6' to 8'. City Planner Bolser responded that the change could be made, but indicated that one major thing that the Council Members would need to consider is that most types of fencing does not come in 8' units. Council Member Starley stated that 8' deer fencing is 2 – 4' pieces put together. He added that most individuals build a 6' fence for financial reasons. He, however, would like to propose an 8' fence height maximum. City Planner Bolser referred to the City Code, Title 10, Chapter 4 or 5 which governs special exceptions for fence height. He indicated that one exception would be fencing surrounding recreational facility, tennis courts, pools, etc. He also cautioned the Council Members regarding exceptions because currently special exceptions can only be heard by the Board of Adjustments. He stated that State law that will take effect May 2, 2005, will change and some issues that are land use related will no longer be under the governance of the Board of Adjustments. He indicated that those decisions would be put back at the City Council or Planning Commission or what ever designated body that would best handle the issue. He stated that would be a decision the City Council Members would have to make. Council Member Brandon stated that in order for some residents to be eligible for restitution, an 8' deer fence is required. City Planner Bolser responded that if for certain reasons, the 8' fencing would be permissible. Council Member Starley stated that on the east side and in other areas with slopes, the 8' fence would help with privacy. City Planner Bolser explained if Council Member Starley was considering retaining walls or simple fencing. Council Member Starley responded either. City Planner Bolser explained that the retaining wall offset with a 6' fence from higher grade already has flexibility.

Council Member Starley amended his motion to accept the 8' fence height. Council Member Morgan stated that he would like to stay with the 6' maximum fence height. Council Member Roberts seconded the amended motion.

Council Member Morgan stated that the 6' height requirement should be kept because the 8' height would tend to have fortresses around houses. Council Member Green stated that the height maximum should be left at 6', with individuals having the option to request exception for a higher fence. Mayor Scovill mentioned that the Police would prefer the 6' height because the higher fence makes it more difficult when surveillance is required.

Council Member Morgan asked City Planner Bolser who had requested the change to the existing ordinance. City Planner Bolser deferred to Council Member Starley. Council Member Starley responded that he had requested the change to the Ordinance. He explained that when he built his fence, he was out of compliance with the City Ordinance. Council Member Morgan questioned if Council Member Starley would be using his position as a City Council Member to solve a personal problem. Council Member Starley responded in the negative and stated that it was an existing safety issue. Council Member Morgan displayed a drawing and presented information to the Council Members regarding his research of clear view at corner lots as defined in the existing and proposed Ordinance. Mayor Scovill stated that City Code and Ordinances should be simple enough to be easily understandable by citizens.

Council Member Starley indicated that he approved of the changes discussed. City Planner Bolser stated that he would prefer the Council Members review the changes discussed before final approval were given. City Manager Chatwin suggested the Council Members table Ordinance 04-02-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005).

Without further discussion, Council Member Starley withdrew his motion to approve Ordinance 04-02-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005). Council Member Morgan agreed to the withdrawal.

Council Member Roberts moved to table Ordinance 04-02-2005 "An Ordinance Amending the Santaquin City Code Providing for Amendment of Corner Lot and Fencing Regulations" (formerly known as Ordinance 03-03-2005). Council Member Brandon seconded the motion.

## **ROLL CALL**

Council Member Green – Aye  
Council Member Roberts – Aye  
Council Member Starley – Nay  
Council Member Morgan – Aye  
Council Member Brandon – Aye

## **PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS**

Nothing.

## **REPORTS OF OFFICERS, STAFF, BOARDS, AND COMMITTEES**

Nothing.

## REPORTS BY MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS

### Mayor Scovill

#### Update on the realignment of SR198 and extension of Main Street

Mayor Scovill informed the Council Members of a meeting with State road officials last week Tuesday. He reported that the project to relocate SR198 and SR6 and Highland Drive had been approved by the State. Mayor Scovill reported that \$1,250,000.00 had been allocated for the project. He indicated that the project was scheduled to go out to bid on November 5, 2005 and added that the intersection could be relocated as early as next summer. Council Member Starley asked if the funding included a traffic signal at that location. Mayor Scovill responded in the negative.

Council Member Green indicated that he had nothing to report to the Council Members.

Council Member Roberts indicated that he had nothing to report to the Council Members.

Council Member Starley reported on that the Miss Santaquin Pageant had gone well. He announced that Rachel Sonderegger was with New Miss Santaquin.

Council Member Green was excused at 10:28 PM.

Council Member Morgan requested information regarding the water line extension from the Red Barn to the Summit Ridge Development. Mayor Scovill responded that Summit Ridge Development had suggested not extending the lines and indicated that Summit Ridge Development would like to put the project on hold. He stated that he had indicated to Summit Ridge Development that the City would put in the water line.

Council Member Morgan reported that the South Utah Valley Municipal Water Association (SUVMWA) had put a bid out to hire an engineering firm to review and comment of Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Utah Lake and to identify the impact on local cities. He reported that five proposals had been received. Mayor Scovill reported that he had attended a meeting with the others Mayors of the SUVMWA Board. He reported that Mayor Boyer of Springville, Mayor Hardy of Woodland Hills, and he had reviewed the Inter Local Agreement and they had been appointed to recommend modifications to the agreement. He asked that Legal Counsel Rich review the agreement. Legal Counsel Rich informed Mayor Scovill that he would provide the Mayor with a strikeout copy of the contract.

Council Member Morgan reported that much of the retaining wall for the Veteran's Memorial at the City Cemetery was in place. He reported that Mr. Peterson would like the City to level the existing paved cemetery road adjacent to the monument. Council Member Morgan reported that he had met with Public Works employees Denny Barnes, Wade Eva, Jason Callaway, Jim Peterson and Ted Jones to discuss Mr. Peterson's request. He indicated that they agreed to lower the level of the monument concrete surface 4". The City would modify the road elevation. He stated that the north end would require 1 or 2 steps to get to the memorial and added that the south end of the monument would be ADA accessible.

Council Member Morgan asked if the restaurant tax had been applied for and what project the money would be used on. Mayor Scovill responded that the money had been used to purchase the wrestling mats for the City Recreation Department.

Council Member Morgan commented that the dust has been terrible at 200 East 400 North and asked when the road would be paved. Mayor Scovill responded that the road would be paved when the asphalt plants were opened and when the temperature was over 50 degrees for several days. Council Member Morgan stated that the road should at least be watered to control the dust.

Council Member Morgan asked when the City would be scheduling a Clean up Week. Mayor Scovill stated that normally the City provides dumpsters, but did not know if a clean up had been planned. He requested staff look into the situation. Mayor Scovill stated that the clean up week would have to be advertised. Council Member Morgan asked if the land fill would be opened for the whole week. Mayor Scovill responded in the affirmative.

Council Member Brandon reported that he had spoken to Clint Ercanbrack regarding the flood control drainage ditch. He stated that Mr. Ercanbrack has kept track of water and snow levels in the canyon. He indicated that Mr. Ercanbrack felt that with a normal spring, there could be water in the overflow ditches and the flood control areas within the next 10 days.

Mayor Scovill asked Council Member Roberts if he had contacted the County regarding the debris basin. Council Member Roberts stated that he had talked to City Public Works Superintendent Barnes who reported that debris could be removed from the basin if the dam was not disturbed. Mayor Scovill commented that the local County crew had cleaned from the channel to Pole Canyon Road. Council Member Roberts indicated that he had not spoken to Archie Alexander as yet. Council Member Morgan stated that it appeared that one of the culverts that run under Pole Canyon road look plugged and was concerned that it would not handle the flow. Mayor Scovill responded that a section Pole Canyon Road is lower at the point where the flood channel goes through, which would allow flood waters to pass over that section of road.

Council Member Brandon reported that he also spoke to Mr. Ercanbrack regarding the Pressurized Irrigation System. He indicated that Mr. Ercanbrack had not been contacted by J.U.B. Engineering, Inc. Mr. Perkins stated that he had been trying to get in touch with Mr. Ercanbrack.

### **City Manager Chatwin**

#### **Update on the County Cricket Abatement refund**

City Manager Chatwin distributed a copy of a letter from County Commissioner Ellertson regarding the Cricket Abatement Refund. Mayor Scovill agreed to contact Commissioner Ellertson. City Manager Chatwin asked the Council Members if they would like to leave the money with the County in anticipation of additional funding. Council Member Roberts asked if the money would be refunded with interest. City Manager Chatwin responded in the negative. Council Member Starley suggested addressing any situation as it arises. He suggested requesting the refund be submitted to the City now. Council Member Brandon stated that the Cricket Abatement Program was a 2-year project and suggested letting the funds stay with the County. Mayor Scovill stated that it would also be his recommendation to leave the funds with the County. Council Member Roberts stated that he understood that the program was funded for 1 year. Council Member Brandon stated that the proposal was for 2 years and that time period had been accepted by the Council Members.

Mayor Scovill asked the Council Members what they would want to do regarding the refund. Council Member Brandon stated that he would prefer holding to the commitment made by the

City. Council Member Roberts stated that he did not think the County had the right to hold the Santaquin City tax payer's money. Council Member Starley agreed with Council Member Roberts.

Council Member Brandon asked for the status of the Economic Development Committee. City Manager Chatwin responded that he had met with the Economic Development Corporation of Utah, (EDCU) which had taken the place of the Utah Valley Economic Development Association (UVEDA). He stated that it had been an introduction meeting of who the EDCU was and what they were doing.

City Manager Chatwin reported that he had spoken with representatives from Utah Community Credit Union trying to determine what type of building they would build at their Main Street location.

~~City Manager Chatwin reported that Skaapland Meat would be moving into a unit at the Crazy Daisy complex.~~

**EXECUTIVE SESSION** (May be called to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual)  
Nothing

**EXECUTIVE SESSION** (May be called to discuss the pending or reasonably imminent litigation, and/or purchase, exchange, or lease of real property)  
Nothing

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

At 10:54 P.M. Council Member Starley moved to adjourn the meeting. Council Member Brandon seconded the motion. The vote was unanimous.

Approved on April 06, 2005:

  
A. LaDue Scovill, Mayor

  
Darlene Gray, City Deputy Recorder

## **Santaquin Area Chamber of Commerce Budget 2005**

### **Bowery:**

Paint	\$ 500.00	
Electrical	500.00	
Bronze plaque	800.00	
Sub total	\$1800.00	\$1800.00

### **Donations:**

Nebo Economic Summit	\$ 300.00	
Clear Project scholarship	300.00	
Veteran's Memorial	1000.00	
Sub total	\$1600.00	\$1600.00

### **Community Events:**

Rodeo Snack Shack	\$ 1000.00	
Purchase Barbeque & equip	500.00	
Easter Egg Hunt	1500.00	
Car Show	100.00	
Miss Santaquin Pageant	50.00	
Jr. Miss	50.00	
Light Parade	500.00	
Installation Banquet	500.00	
Sub total	\$4200.00	\$4200.00

### **Operating Expenses:**

Office and phone	\$ 500.00	
Newsletter	200.00	
Postage	500.00	
Membership Certificates	200.00	
Ribbon Cuttings	100.00	
Directory and Ad book	500.00	
Sub total	\$ 2000.00	\$2000.00

Swimming Pool annual commitment	\$1000.00	\$1000.00
---------------------------------	-----------	-----------

<b>Total</b>		<b>\$10600.00</b>
--------------	--	-------------------

#2  
4/6/2005

In these times of terrorism, crime, and drug trafficking, all of which are intrusions upon the liberties of law abiding American's and legal guests, extreme measures are being used and not used where they should be. The United States is at a war on terror in foreign lands such as Iraq and Afghanistan, where our family members, neighbors and friends in the military are making incredible sacrifices each day, some with their lives. The people that live in those countries have to endure extreme security measures such as border checks, blockades, retina scans and fingerprinting, and the likes. We as Americans are told that this is for the greater good, to make those countries a safe place to live, and for democracy to prosper. Agree or not with these activities, this is what is happening.

My statement is not to debate the correctness of these actions, or the "war on terror". This statement is to further bring to light a problem we are facing in America, the land of the FREE and the home of the BRAVE! With the billions of dollars being spent on the "war on terror", and many military and civilian lives being lost, our Federal government needs to do more to protect our own borders in North America. The Canadian and Mexican borders are not being properly managed, patrolled, guarded, or secured. If the United States is truly interested in fighting terror and keeping it from our soil, then the borders our great land must be protected. I, Todd Starley, a Santaquin City Councilman, call on Congress and our Federal government, to immediately put into place, full and proper border protection, and to put a stop to illegal immigration. It is time to put America first again, and work on the true security of our nation. All the security measures and legislation that Washington can muster, will be of no accord without proper border control. The incursions of "illegal", I repeat, "illegal" immigrants into the United States must be stopped! Illegal immigration is not a race issue, nor a nationality issue, it is an illegal immigration issue no matter what country, race, or creed someone belongs to.

Illegal immigration can worsen the existing problems of crime, drug trafficking, disease, medical costs, welfare, housing, food assistance, unemployment, and many other issues, because of the huge burden put on the American tax payer in having to fund some of the illegal immigrants expenses. If these issues are not addressed in Washington D.C., we will continue to see a slide in the quality of life, and an increase in unemployment of Americans and migrant workers, as well as an increased tax burden on the taxpayers.

At this time, I want to call public attention to, and officially thank and congratulate freedom movements such as the Minuteman Project in Arizona. According to one of the members of the MMP, Mr. Simcox states, "Currently, 10,000 illegal aliens enter the US daily." "Over 5,000 invade from Texas, New Mexico, Arizona and California daily. Add our East Coast, West Coast and Canada, you've got three million per year."

We all should know that it is the duty of the United States government to protect and defend our borders. **As stated in The Constitution of the United States, Article IV Section 4, "The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican Form of Government, and shall protect each of them against Invasion; and on Application of the Legislature, or of the Executive (when the Legislature cannot be convened) against domestic Violence."** Why has this been neglected for so long?

I also urge everyone in Santaquin to study, understand, and defend the Constitution of the United States, and the other founding documents of our nation. Freedom is not free, and unless we act, it will be lost!

Sincerely,

Todd Starley  
Santaquin City Councilman



**Long Range Master Planning**  
**Future Land Use**  
**Master Roads & Transportation**  
**Parks & Trails System**  
**North Area**  
**DRAFT**

**Legend**

- Seaside City
- Grants Program
- Urban Street Street Rights
- Urban Department of Natural Resources
- Parks**
  - Existing Park Site
  - Future Park Site
  - Future Park Site
  - Future Park Site
- Master Roads**
  - Arterial
  - Major Collector
  - Minor Collector
  - Local
- Alternate Arterial Alignment**
  - Proposed Arterial Alignment
  - Proposed Arterial Alignment
- Proposed Arterial Alignment**
  - Proposed Arterial Alignment
  - Proposed Arterial Alignment
- Trail System**
  - Arterial
  - Major Collector
  - Minor Collector
  - Local
- Other - City Park**
  - Other - City Park
  - Other - City Park
- Other - School Site**
  - Other - School Site
  - Other - School Site
- County Boundary**
  - County Boundary
  - County Boundary
- Water Bodies**
  - Water Bodies
  - Water Bodies
- High Density Residential**
  - High Density Residential
  - High Density Residential
- Medium Density Residential**
  - Medium Density Residential
  - Medium Density Residential
- Low Density Residential**
  - Low Density Residential
  - Low Density Residential
- Commercial - Industrial**
  - Commercial - Industrial
  - Commercial - Industrial



This map is for illustrative purposes only and is not to be used for planning purposes.

Prepared by  
Mountainland Associates of Geographers  
Grant by  
IDG  
Date  
April 16, 2005  
Page  
16  
Project  
Long Range Master Planning

**Mountainland**  
Associates of Geographers  
5000 N. 10th Ave.  
Suite 100  
Phoenix, AZ 85018  
Tel: 602.955.1111  
Fax: 602.955.1112  
Email: info@mountainland.org

